G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1895-96
~~191~~
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 23

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT. Removal of Gymnasium or garadi from the precincts of the Veerabhadraswamy Temple at Tumkur & declining to permit the construction of a building for exhibiting Kama idols" in the temple-

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES

Board F 23 – 1896 – 1

Note

In 1896, a petition was received in this Office from Nanjundiah Sujare of the Veerabhadraswamie Temple at Tumkur, stating that there exists in the temple premises a Garadi or Gymnasium which is frequented by men of bad characters and non-Hindus

The petition was forwarded to the Dy Comr of the Tumkur District for report. He in his letter no 209 dated 26th August 1897 stated that

no 1597 dated 27th March 1896.

With his office Docket no 1063 dated 7th April 1896.

that the Garadi has existed
in the temple premises for
more than 50 years; that
the conditions on which
it was allowed to be built
were not ascertainable that
the ground on which it stands
belongs to the temple and not
the building that the manager
of the Garadi was not willing
to vacate it in favour of the
temple that the ground rent
might be fixed at one rupee
per month and the amount
realized ~~annas~~ appropriated
for the repair of the temple and
for the payment of municipal
house tax and that as the
garadi is used by others

than

Hindus, the manager being
be required to close the door
which opened into the temple
and have a new door opened
facing the public street.
And the Dy Commr submitted
a sketch also showing the position
of the Faradi etc in the temple
premises. In the sketch a
portion marked D was shown
as a stone mantap used
as a dwelling house. With
reference to this, the Dy Commr
was asked* to report by whom,
how long since and under
what circumstances, the
building in question was
allowed to be used as a
dwelling house.

In this Office Docket-
No 1008 dated 8th
February 1898.

In reply the Dy Commr reported that the stone mantap had since collapsed, that one Gubbi Shetti and others of Tumkur had recently laid foundation at the place, for a house in view to exhibit "Kama" idol every year, and that there was no mantap or building fit for dwelling purposes.

With reference to the above it was enquired why a building for exhibiting "Kama" idol should be built within the precincts of the temple under what authority permission was granted for the erection of the building and how far

* In his letter no 999 dated 21st April 901.

In his office Docket no 1135 dated 6th May 1901.

far the work had progressed
And the Dy Comr was
also instructed to stop
further progress of the work,
pending orders of Govt.

In reply to the above
the Dy Comr now reports
that "Kamma" used to be
exhibited in the stone
mantap before it collapsed
but on its collapse, the
Agyaman Lubbi Hutchappa
and nine others unauthorizedly
laid in that place foundation
for a permanent structure,
in view of exhibiting the idol
there and that they have
been directed to stop further

Towards [illegible] evidence

of Environment in the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

to be described in their connection. —

i [illegible] the Environment.

or

ii [illegible] the process of building

[illegible] exhibition "Human" [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

with gate from outside, provided they pay a reasonable rent for the use of the ground which the Officer may be asked to fix.

2/9/04

Note continued:—

The Dewan's orders dated 17th March 1902, were issued in Govt. Pro. No 1486 of 24th March 1902 directing the D. Commr. to make an effort to remove the "Saradi" altogether. What action was taken by that Officer in as directed in the Pro: has not been reported.

Jangamiah son of Siddiah of Kotè Saradi, Tumkur, has presented an appeal petn. No 1178 of 24th Dec. 1903 praying that the Saradi house in question may be allowed to stand as heretofore as the same was built about 80 years ago under orders of Govt. (license) ~~ass~~ and as the Archak is in immical terms with the petr. the Govt. order passed on his petn

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1896

MUZARAI.

From

To The Deputy Coll^r
Tumkur Distt

Dated 7th April.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1063 P

Muzarai F. No. 23-95

Has the honor to forward herewith ~~a~~ petn ~~Rep~~ Re 1597 of 27th March 1896 from Ramjundiah, Pujari of the Veerabhadraswamy temple at Tumkur, and to request the submission of a report on paras 7, 8 and 9 thereof at an early date.

By order

Asst Secy
C.T.

26-8-97

MR

1897

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

To Hermanno 297 d/ 30/ 6/97

No. 209
Ex: Ver: record

Tiptur District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tiptur 26th August
1897.

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore - Inam
department.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket
No 1063
Inam F. 23-95 dated 7th April 1896, for-
warding for report a petition from
Nanjundiah, Poojari of Veerabha-
-drasvami temple at Tiptur,
I have the honor to submit here-
-with the vernacular papers re-
-lating to the petition ending with
the Tiptur Amildar's report No
330 dated 11 instant, from which
it will be seen that the Garadi
(Salunkhana or Gymnasium) al-
luded to by the Petitioner has
existed in the temple premises for
more

more than 50 years - The conde-
-tions on which the Garadi was al-
-lowed to be built are not now as-
-certainable - There is no doubt as
to the property of the temple in
the ground on which the Garadi
stands and as to the right of the
temple to rent for its use - The
Garadi building does not belong
to the temple, and the present
Manager thereof is not willing to
vacate it in favor of the temple.
The ground rent may well be
fixed at 1 Rupee per month, --
and the amount realized appro-
priated for the repair of the
temple and for the payment of
the Municipal house tax. As
the Garadi is used by other than
Hindus, the Manager may be re-
quired to close the door which
opens into the temple and have
a new door opened facing the

From the Sar

public Street.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servant.

M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner.

MUZRAI.

1897

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Dated 20th August

Despatched

Received

General No. 297
Muzrai F. No. 23-95
Enclosure No. 1 file

His docket No 209
dated 26th August
1897 regarding the
Garadi (Gymnasium
room) ~~Contracted~~
in the premises of the
Veerabhadraswami temple
at Tumkur

Has the honor to
request that a sketch
of the locality clearly
shewing the position

From the Tamara 717 d/ 24-1-98

of the Garade with
refee to the temple
and other adjoining quarters
may be forwarded to
this Office for refee at
an early date

2. The enclosures
are herewith returned
for reference and re-
submission with the
Sketch above called for

By order

W
Wardley

AWM

Gen. 31.]

1897-98

From The Deputy Commr.
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Muzarai Department.

Dated 24th
Despatched 25th January 1898
Received

General No. 717.
No.

Enclosure No. one Verisfile
This does not the 297 dated 30th August 97
Trans 1st 2345
Transmitting a Sketch
showing the position
of the Gardi in the pre-
mises of Veerabhadra
temple at Tumkur

OFFICE OF THE ... OF MY...
RECEIVED
Date 27/1/98
REG No 621.
MUZRAI BRANCH

To the Samaras No 108 dt 8/2/98

No. 717
Encl: Verification —

Deputy Commr's Office,
Tinnevelly District
Dated 24th January 1898

To: The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Sir

Refer
Ord
G.O. 1897

Adverting to your docket No.
297 — dated 30th August 1897, I
have the honor to submit a Sketch
showing the position of the Guards
in the premises of the Veerabhadra
temple at Tinnevelly together with
the Vernacular file received with
the docket under reply. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

CK.

MUZRAI.

1898

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr.
Tumkur District

Dated 8th Feb

Despatched

Received

General No. 6006
Muzrai F. No. 23-95 + Sketch
Enclosure No Office file

His letter No. 717 of 24th January 1898 submitting a Sketch shewing the position of the Sy[illegible] &c in the premises of the Veerabhadra temple at Tumkur.

In the Sketch read with the above, it is noted that the portion

of the temple premises
marked D is a stone
mantap now used as a
dwelling house. The
D/Commrs reference is
invited to Govt Pro
No 501-8 D/24th Feb 1892
prohibiting the residence
of private persons in
temple premises, and
he is requested to report
by whom how long
since & under what
circumstances the building
in question has been allowed
to be used as a dwelling house.
2. The sketch is ret'd
for refce & returned

By order
W
Asst Commr Lucy

(General Serial No. 39.)

1900–1.

From The Dy. Commissioner Innkur Dist.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore General and Rev Depts.

Dated 21 } April 1901.
Despatched 23 }

Received

General No. 779 } 1900–1.
No.

Enclosures Number.
his docket no. 1008/23-95 dated 8th Feb 98

Stating that there is now no building or mantap in the Sri Virabhadraswami temple at Innkur fit for dwelling purposes

Enquire why a building for Rama shd be built within the precinct of the temple, who gave permission to build, and how far the work has progressed

Stop further work pending Govt orders

24/4/01

Vide no 1135-dy 6-5-01

No 999
en 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 21st April 1901.

To

The Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no: 1008 23-95
dated 8th February 1898, requiring by whom,
how long since, and under what circumstances a certain stone Mantap
in the premises of the Sri Veerabhadra
Swami Temple at Tumkur was used
as a dwelling house, I have the honor to
state that, there was formerly a stone
mantap in the south east of the temple
premises which has now collapsed;
that in its place one Gubbi Shetti and
others of the Tumkur Town have recently
laid the foundation for a house for the
purpose of exhibiting "Kamadal" every
year and that there is now no building or
Mantap, pil, or dwelling purposes.

A sketch showing the position of the
mantap came within the temple pre-
mises is herewith submitted for
reference.

I

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1901

From

To The Dy Comm
Tumkur District

Dated 6th May 1901.
Despatched 7th
Received
General No. 1136
Muzrai F. No. 23 — 95
Enclosure No.

His letter no. 999 of 21st April 1901 reporting that the stone mantap in the premises of the Sri Veerabhadra Swami temple at Tumkur has collapsed and that at the place certain persons have laid foundation for the erection of a building to exhibit "Kama" idol

---

Adverting to the above, has the honor to enquire why a building for exhibiting

"Kama" idol should be
built within the precincts
of the ~~Veerabhadraswami~~
temple, under what
authority ~~+~~ permission
was granted for the
erection of the building
and how far the work
has progressed. Pending
~~for~~ orders of Govt
further progress of the
work should be stopped
and the ~~result~~ fact reported
to this Office with the
information called for.

By order
4/5/07
Dy Secretary

R



18/7/01

Refers
to

(General Form No.)

1901-1902

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Muzrai
Department, Bangalore.

Dated 15th } July
Despatched 16th Do }

Received

General No. No. 74 } 190 .

Enclosures Number.

His docket No: 1135/23-95 dated
6th May 1901.

Explaining the circumstances
under which a building, in the
premises of Veerabhadraswamy
temple at Tumkur, for exhibiting
Kōmṭe was started and stating
that the work has not progressed
beyond foundation.

Vide no 1488 dy 24-8-02

...ity Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 74

Tumkur Dated
the 15th July 1901

To The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No. 1135/93-95 dated 16th May 1901,
Enquiring why a building for
exhibiting 'Kama' idol should
be built within the precincts
of the Sri. Veerabhadraswami
Temple at Tumkur, I have
the honor to state that Kama
(use) to be exhibited in the Stone
Mantap alluded to in para
1 of my predecessor's letter no.
999 dated 21st April 1901.
But on the collapse of this
Mantap, Yejamans —

Gulabi Hutchappa and nine
others un authorizedly laid,
in that place, the foundation
for a permanent structure
in view of exhibiting the idol
there. The authors of the building
having been directed to stop
further progress of the work
pending orders of Government
in the matter, the work has
not progressed beyound foundation.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

AS

Read :-

Petition no 1599 dated 27th March 1896, from Ranjum-
-dayya, Pujari of the Veera-
-bhadraswami Temple at
Tumkur, Complaining
of the existence of a Garadi
or Gymnasium within the
temple premises, on the-ses
of its being frequented by
Non- Hindus and men of
bad character.

Read Correspondence
ending with letter no 74
dated 15th July 1901, from
the Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt,
reporting on the above, with
a sketch showing the positions
of the Garadi &c

order thereon: —

No. 1486 Dy
Muzf-23-95 24-3.02
Enc 1 file V.P.

From the correspondence and from the sketch it is observed that there existed within the temple premises two buildings — one, a Gurudi or Gymnasium and the other a stone mantap, respectively situated on the north and south of the Mahadwara of the temple.

2. As regards the Gurudi, the Pujaris of the temple complains that it is being frequented by men of bad characters and non-Hindus and states that as the door of the Gurudi opens into the temple, the admission of non-Hindus therein is objectionable. The Dy Comm^r

whose

whose opinion was called

for in the matter, states

that as the manager of

the Gurudi is not willing

the building which belongs to private

to vacate ~~it in favour of~~

parties, the site thereof alone being the

property of the temple,

~~the temple [illegible]~~ ground rent

might be fixed at one Rupee

per mensem, the amount

thus realized being appro-

priated towards the

annual repairs of the temple

and for the payment of

the municipal house-

tax; and he further

suggests that as the Gurudi

is used by other than Hindus,

the manager thereof might

be required to close the door

opening into the temple and

to have a new door opened

facing the public street.

The Dy. Commr ~~recommendation~~

~~does not recommend itself~~

~~to favourable. He should~~
is requested to
make an effort to remove
the building altogether.
from the temple premises.

3. Secondly, as regards

the stone mantap :—

The Dy Commr reports

that the mantap ~~had~~ cracking
~~and is collapsed~~,
collapsed ~~& broke~~ one Public Institution

~~Lobby~~ and others of Tumkur

unauthorisedly laid in that-

place the foundation for a

permanent structure in view

of exhibiting "Kamva" idol

therein; and that they have

been directed to stop further

work pending orders of

Govt

Government in the matter

— With reference to this

point, the Govt. observe

that the Builder, as the

local magisterial Officer, should

have taken the timely precaution

to prevent the said Shuchuffra

and others from

laying within the temple premises

~~the~~ the foundation for

new structure for exhibiting

"Kamra" idol ~~within the~~

~~temple premises~~, which

forms no part of the temple

worship. ~~And further~~

~~should be immediately~~

~~with~~; ~~and~~ the persons

concerned should be in-

formed that they might

select a less objectionable

site

Site outside the temple

premises.

(Demi-official order) 20/3/02

Dy Secretary

22/3/02

To

The Dy Comm^r, Tumkur

Sir, returning the Ver: papers.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

To The Dy Commr
Tumkur

Dated 6th Jany 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 1916 1917

Muzrai F. No. 23-95

Enclosure No.

In continuation of
Govt Pro no 1486
Mur 77345
of
24th March 1903 regarding
the "Sarabi" attached to the
Veerabhadraswami temple
at Tumkur, has the honor
to forward herewith
~~appeal~~ petn Regd no 1178
of 21st Dec 1903 received
from Jangamiah, and to
request information

MGCPB—1,000—21-7 03.

as to what action was
taken by the Dept. in
accordance with the Pro
referred to above. The favour of
an early report is requested.

By order

DFI

Dept
Insp Dept

2/1/04

Encl 1 copy

Read
SS
19/5/04

Refers

1903-4

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur

To The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzarai Department

Dated 14th
Despatched 18th } May 1904
Received

General No. 581
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file & patrikai with Encl

Reporting as to the action taken in the matter of removing the gymnasium from the premises of the Sri Veerabhadra Swamy temple at Tumkur.

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Tumkur District,
Dated 14th May 1904.

From

K Doraswami Iyer Esq,
a/c Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

M Shama Rao Esq, M.A,
a/c Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Mazarai Department

Sir,

With reference to Deputy Secretary's docket No 196 dated 6th January 1904 enquiring as to what action was taken by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter of removing the gymnasium and the foundation laid for erecting a mantap for Exhibiting therein the idol of Kama from the premises of the Sri Veerabhadraswamy temple at Tumkur in accordance with the Government Proceedings No 1486 Mazy 1-23-98 dated 24th March 1902, I have the honour to state as follows

2 As the Manager of the Gymnasium did not remove the building in question within

within the time given him, the Amildar was directed not to allow the building to be used again as gymnasium and to ask the Manager to select a suitable site elsewhere. The Manager proposed three different sites and prayed for the free grant of any one of them. The Amildar was asked to comply in order No. 2010 dated 30th May 1903 (paper No. 43 in the file). The Amildar now reports (vide paper No. 43 in the file) that the Manager has not yet selected a site The gymnasium however remains closed

3. As regards the stone Mantap the Amildar reports that the persons interested in it have selected a place of their own away from the temple premises and Exhibited therein the idol of Kama last year. The temple premises are now free from all manner of interference.

4 The entire file of the remanban records is herewith forwarded and the enclosures received with the docket under reply are returned

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

for Pillai
for Hy. Deputy Commissioner
of Tinnevelly District.

Reg No
1597

Refers as

To

The Amildar Superintendent
in Mysore.

The humble petition of Ranjoondiah, Pujari of the "Veerabhadraswara Temple" at Tumkur.

Most Respected Sir.

The Petitioner in addressing this petition begs that the grievances which the Local [illegible] overlooks to redress, may be kindly considered and proper Orders ought be passed on this Petition.

2 The Petitioner as Pujari of the said Temple enjoys two pieces of Inams. One of them is a paddy field ~~pro~~ yields a few Kolagas of Paddy during those years when the Sauk is full. The other is a dry land situated near the Tumkur Railway Station.

3 Of this dry field a certain extent of land was taken up by the Government for purposes named hereunder

1. For Bussa-shankhana
2. For a Road leading to Railway Station
3. For Missionaries Bungalow.

Very small extent remained. This was an unassessed waste. This was taken up by Rao Sahib Gopalrungar Asstt Amrutā Mahal Department for the erection of a Bung on condition of giving the Petitioner same extent of Inam land where. Subsequently the Petitioner was informed that the Govt. was unwilling to create new Inams & that he therefore must be paid with a money-equivalent of Rs 15 payable every year.

4 Of the 3 items, a compensation of Rs 30 was paid towards item No 2, which amount having been deposited in the Savings Bank, on behalf of Temple.

On several occasions, the Petitioner had submitted this matter for favourable consideration & he was ultimately informed that the original Inam was confirmed & he cannot get separate Inam land towards items nos 1 & 3. This confirmation has the effect of reducing the original Inam by items nos 1 & 3

Thus the Temple Inam (dry) is altogether lost to the Temple except that it produces, by way of rent Rs 15 every year with this small income & from the produce of the wet Inam which is comparatively small, the expenses of Neyparadhanay — throughout the year are to be met with, little or nothing remaining for Pujaris. Iraimanam.

A Gymnastic House is situated within the boundaries of the said Temple the occupant of this used to pay the expenses incurred in repairing the Temple premises once in a year or as occasions required, & was keeping the Temple in good order. The original occupant died 5 or 6 years ago & was succeeded by his Son Kadiah

Unlike his father, the new occupant not only refused to contribute towards the annual repairs of the Temple, but has been allowing some bad characters inside the Temple ostensibly to teach them gymnastic exercises. His pupils are mostly — Muhammadans & as such have little or no regard for Hindu Temples Policies in every respect & has become the bane of the Temple. The income was not [illegible] but could not do so without bringing upon himself the saddest results from the parties so provoked

This house has been taxed a Rupee per year & the pattah stands in the name of the Temple. The Govt demands this tax from the Petitioner only. Does it not therefore appear quite strange to demand Kandayam from a person for something which another person publicly & openly enjoys

There is thus an out-let to the Inam profit accruing to the Temple, viz the Kandayam.

The Petitioner, therefore most respectfully begs Your Honor to consider all these difficulties & to pass such orders as may be deemed expedient under the circumstances of the case

27th March 1896
Trichur —

Your most obedient & humble Petitioner
Sd/ Ramyoondiah
Pujari, Veerabhadraswara Swami
Trichur.



By Post registered
3-10-02

one Two Rupee Stamp
affd

Enclosure

944

Mysore Government
General Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 1470
Date 12-12-03

12.12.03

compared

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ನಾನು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸದವನಾಗಿದ್ದು, ನನ್ನ ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಖಾತೆಯ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ದಿನಾಂಕ 17-9-2041ರಂದು ಪ್ರಯಾಣದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದುಹೋಗಿದೆ. ಖಾತೆಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಖಾತೆದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು: ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಮಿತ್ರಾ ಎಂ. ಕಾಳಪ್ಪನವರ

ಖಾತೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 3142 0098 7765 ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಖಾತೆ

ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಶಾಖೆ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್, ಶಾಖೆ ಹಿರೇಬಾಗೇವಾಡಿ

ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊನೆಯದಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿದ್ದ ಬ್ಯಾಲೆನ್ಸ್: ₹ 18,450/-

ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣದಿಂದ ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಿಸುವಾಗ

ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಚೆಕ್ ಪುಸ್ತಕ ಮತ್ತು ಎಟಿಎಂ ಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಕೂಡ ಕಳೆದುಹೋಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಖಾತೆಯ ಭದ್ರತೆಯ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಹಳೆಯ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ಅನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ನೀಡಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್‌ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರು ಅದನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಬಹುಮಾನ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಸಹ ಕೋರಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು,

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಮಿತ್ರಾ ಎಂ. ಕಾಳಪ್ಪನವರ

ಸಹಿ

15 days leave from 17-7-02
to 31-7-02 on account of
my marriage ceremony
to be held at my native
place. Hence I request
you to kindly sanction
me the leave applied
for.

Yours faithfully,
M. Venkateswarlu

16-9-02

No. 3816 Ex/1 file. dt 2/6/04.
M.J. No 23795 Puzzuoli.

Read:—

Letter No 681 dt

14th May 1904

dt Commr Tumkur dt—,

reporting with refce

to Govt Ro No 1486 dt
Mus 723-95

24th March 1902, that

the gymnasium within

the premises of the — Sri

Veerabhadraswamy temple

at Tumkur, remains

closed, pending the

Separate

of a site by the manager

thereof & that the Kama

dol was exhibited last-

fear in a place unconnected

with the temple, & that

the temple premises

are now free from all

manner of interference

Order thereon

Recorded

In
1 6 04

Ex
31/5/04 A.G. Seq

To The D. Comm: Jamkm Dk. not. Records -

MYSORE

No. 836 Enl(1)

The accompanying Petition No. [illegible]

dated [illegible] received at this

Office from [illegible]

MUZRAI

1904—1905

From The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. Maharaja of Mysore, Muz: Deptt.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched 5th October 1904.

Received

General No. 1356
Muzrai F. No. 23-96.

Enclosure 1 Pet in with 2 encls

In continuation of the Govt Pro: No. 3816 Muz: F. 23-95 dated 22d June 1904, has the honor to forward herewith a petition Reg 2 No. 474 dated 20th July 1904 from Shetty Sri Jayamanya of Tumkur for favor of Early disposal

2. The petitioner states that the Syrupa asthana which has been in existence for a very long time has been ordered to be closed on the mere representation of one

Prchak Nanjundaraya and
prays that ~~et~~ either the Gymnasium
may be ordered to be used with
a door opened on any of the
side or half of the site for
the School building ~~and the site~~ or
belonging to ~~the~~ Amasakere
Modhar may be granted
Wherein for locating the Gymnasium.
3 Enclosures to the petitioners are also sent
herewith

By order

4/10/14

Secretary

2-5
2019/04

End to petitioner

Archab.

prays H.

may be

a door of

side or h.

the School bu.

belonging to

Modiar m

which for be

3 Enclosures &c.

herewith.

G.

Encl to Peter